

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/668,073	09/19/2003	Andrew H. Segal	11111/2003F	3004
29933 75	90 06/15/2006		EXAMINER	
PALMER & DODGE, LLP			LE, EMILY M	
KATHLEEN M. WILLIAMS 111 HUNTINGTON AVENUE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BOSTON, MA	02199		1648	
			DATE MAILED: 06/15/2006	ς.

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · ·		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/668,073	SEGAL ET AL.			
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Emily Le	1648			
-	- The MAILING DATE of this communication app			s		
Period fo	r Reply		·			
WHIC - Exten after \$ - If NO - Failum Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DOWNS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 CFR 1.13 CFR 1.14 CFR 1.15 CF	ATE OF THIS COMMUI 36(a). In no event, however, may will apply and will expire SIX (6) M , cause the application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this commur ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	•					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 Se	eptember 2003.				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		action is non-final.				
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Dispositio	on of Claims	:				
_	Claim(s) <u>1-82</u> is/are pending in the application.	:				
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	!				
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	:				
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.	:				
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	;				
	Claim(s) <u>1-82</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
	on Papers	:				
•	The specification is objected to by the Examine					
,	Γhe drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acc		·			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the			404(4)		
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	• •	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
י נבו(י י	The path of declaration is objected to by the Ex	iammer. Note the attach	led Office Action of John F10-1	JZ.		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119	<u>;</u>				
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	·		
	1. Certified copies of the priority document					
	2. Certified copies of the priority document					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		en received in this National Stag	je		
* 0	application from the International Bureau	, , , , , ,	at received			
~ 5	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies n	ot received.			
		;				
		,				
Attachment		_				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		w Summary (PTO-413) lo(s)/Mail Date			
3) Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	. —	of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		

DETAILED ACTION

Status of the Claims

1. Claims 1-82 are pending.

Election/Restrictions

2. Claim 1 is generic to the following disclosed patentably distinct species:

Antigen bearing target: a tumor antigen, a viral antigen a bacterial antigen, a fungal antigen, a parasite antigen, a prion antigen, an antigen of an autoimmune disease; a tumor cell; a bacterial cell; a cell selected from the group consisting of a fungal cell; a cell of a parasite, a prion; a mammalian cell; an insect cell; and a polypeptide free of other cell-derived material.

Second amino acid: a ligand for a cytokine receptor, a ligand for CD40, a ligand for an adhesion molecule, a ligand for defensin receptor, a ligand for heat shock protein receptor, a ligand for a T cell costimulatory molecule; and a ligand for a counterreceptor for a T cell costimulatory molecule. If Applicant elects a ligand for a cytokine receptor, Applicant is further required to elect one species among the following: GM-CSF; IL-1 to IL-25; interferon alpha, beta and gamma; TNF-alpha; FTL-3; CXC-1, CXC-2, CXCR-3, CXCR-4, CCR-1, CCR-2, CCR-3, CCR-4, CCR-5, CCR-6, CCR-7, CCR-8, 9E3, AMCF, beta-thromboglobulin, ENA-78, eotaxin, eotaxin-2, IP-10, KC, LIX, mig, MGSA, mob-1, NAP-1, MAP-2, NAP-3, NAP-4, PBSF, MGSA, mouse KC, MIP-2, MIP-1 alpha, gcp-2, act-2, c10, Ccf18, DC-CK1, ELC, Exodus, FIC, GDCF, GDCF-2, HC-21, HCC-1, I-309, JE, LAG-1, MARC, MCAF, MCP-1, MCP-2, MCP-3, MCP-4, MRP-2, RANTES SDF, TARC, ATAC, Ltn, SCM-1, and neurotactin.

Application/Control Number: 10/668,073 Page 3

Art Unit: 1648

3. The species are independent or distinct for the following reason(s):

Broadly, unity of invention exists where compounds included within a Markush group (1) share a common utility, and (2) share a substantial structural feature essential to that utility. In re Harnisch, 631 F.2d 716, 206 USPQ 300 (CCPA 1980); and Ex parte Hozumi, 3 USPQ2d 1059 (Bd. Pat. App. & Int. 1984).

- 4. In the instant, each of the listed species is structurally very diverse from one another. The structural diversity noted also contributes to the diverse utilities that are noted for each of the listed species. For instance, the species a ligand that is a receptor for interleukin-1 is expected to have different structural characteristics as that of a ligand that is a receptor for a defensin receptor. Furthermore, the utility of a ligand that is receptor for interleukin-1 is to bind to or attract interleukin-1; whereas, the utility of a ligand that is receptor for a defensin receptor is to bind to or attract a defensin receptor. Thus, the claims fail to comply with the Harnisch test for unity of invention.
- 5. Additionally, because the claims fail to comply with the Harnisch test for unity of invention, Applicant is informed that MPEP 803.02 practice does not apply here.
- 6. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Application/Control Number: 10/668,073 Page 4

Art Unit: 1648

7. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

8. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

9. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Emily Le whose telephone number is (571) 272 0903.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8 am - 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bruce R. Campell can be reached on (571) 272-0974. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Emily Le Josiou Patent Examiner Art Unit 1648